STATE INSTITUTE FOR DRUG CONTROL	SP-CAU-003	Issue: 7 / 07.12.2011 page 1 of 13
i		

Effective date: 07.12.2011		Revision interval: 2 years				
Document own	er:		Reviewed by M	1J:	Approved by:	
Name: Mgr. Ka 33020	iteřina Pod	razilová, Ph.D.	Name: ing. Rad	dmila Foretová	Name: MUDr. M 33000	gr. Jindřich Kotrba
Department	Date	Signature	Date	Signature	Department Signature	Date

1. OBJECTIVE

Establish the procedure for determination/change of the maximum ex-factory price and the amount and terms of reimbursement of medicinal products/foods for special medical purposes.

2. USERS

The procedure is binding on the employees of the Price and Payment Regulation Section.

3. DEFINITION OF TERMS AND ABBREVIATIONS

TIN DEVALUEULU UEGEHHHAUUH UI HAAHHUH EATAGUUN DHGE	PR	Department for determination of maximum ex-factory prices
---	----	---

UR Department for determination of the amount and terms of

reimbursement

SA Department of statistics and analyses

LD Legal Department MP Medicinal product

FSMP Food for special medicinal purpose

AAFS AthenA filing service

APMPD Administrative procedure – Medicinal Products Database

AP Administrative procedure

ER Evaluation report

DEC Decision

RAP Administrative procedure initiated by virtue of office (review)

IAP Individual administrative procedure initiated on the participant's

request

CAU Price and reimbursement regulation

UDTD Usual daily therapeutic dose VCR External price reference MC/MPC Maximum ex-factory price

LF Coming into force

Coordinator Person responsible for the correctness of the procedure

ADM Administrative support, person responsible for formal correctness

Evaluator Person responsible for expert and content correctness

DTB employee Person responsible for the takeover of the electronic file from the VAL, ensures the recording in the internal information system (MPD)

<u>Coordinator</u> – an employee supporting a correct course of the procedure and implementation of individual procedural steps. In cooperation with the head of the department and the Evaluator, the Coordinator assigns tasks to the ADM and is responsible for the time schedule and deadlines of the administrative procedure. After the type and complexity of the procedure has been assessed by the Evaluator, the Coordinator follows the deadlines within the administrative procedure, ascertains when calls and requests for position are to be sent and completes complex forms.

STA	ATE INSTITUTE FOR DRUG CONTROL	SP-CAU-003	Issue: 7 / 07.12.2011 page 2 of 13
Title: Procedure for processing of an application for the determination/change of the maximum price and the amount ar terms of reimbursement of a medicinal product / food for specimedical purposes			

The Coordinator leads some of the administrative procedures, in such a case he/she is also the document owner; expert documentation for evaluation is ensured by the Evaluator.

If a given administrative procedure does not require the Coordinator's support, the tasks of the Coordinator are assumed by the Evaluator.

ADM - an employee responsible for formal correctness of the administrative procedure, use of up-to-date data (participants in the procedure, product codes, etc.) and up-to-date forms, completion of assigned tasks - a task is considered to have been completed upon change of phase in APMPD and upon checking of the change on the following day. He/she follows the instructions of the Coordinator and Evaluator. He/she is authorized to complete simple forms and prepares them to be signed.

Evaluator (specialist) - an employee responsible for completeness, correctness and expert processing of all materials necessary for a smooth course of the AP assigned to him/her, including the Evaluation Report and the Decision.

Within the evaluation, the Evaluator either prepares materials for the determination of reimbursement or asks the employee responsible for the preparation of pricing documentation to submit such materials.

If the procedure does not require the assistance of a Coordinator, the Evaluator is also responsible for the management of the procedure, which he/she carries out with the support of the administrative employees.

The Evaluator responsible for the given procedure prepares the documents necessary for determination of the maximum price or the amount of reimbursement or assigns the preparation of the documentation to another employee.

Official - any person who takes part in the course of the procedure or preparation of documents relevant to any decision taken within the procedure. The name of the person responsible for each act within the administrative procedure is always stated under the performed act or in association with the act in the AA FS and APMPD application. The person leading the administrative procedure is stated in the AA FS and APMPD as the file owner.

Authorized Official – a person performing acts in the competence of an administrative authority authorized to do so by the internal regulations of the administrative authority or appointed by the head of the administrative authority. The authorized official in the procedures relevant to prices and reimbursements is the head of the department or other superior head according to S-001 Approval Rule.

4. RELATED INTERNAL REGULATIONS

SP-CAU-001	Methodology for determination of the maximum ex-factory price of a medicinal product /food for special medical purposes
SP-CAU-002	Methodology for determination of the basic reimbursement of the reference group/ active substance and fully reimbursed medicinal product
SP-CAU-010	Methodology for the conversion of the ascertained price to the reference price
SP-CAU-020	Fixed reimbursement
SP-CAU-021	Methodology for determination of reimbursement of combined products
SP-UST-013	Appeal against a decision issued by the State Institute for Drug Control
F-CAU-001-01	Determination of the maximum price of a medicinal product/food for special medical purposes in accordance with the provisions of Section § 39a(2)(a).
F-CAU-001-02	Determination of the maximum price of a medicinal product/food for special medical purposes in accordance with the provisions of Section § 39a(2)(b).
F-CAU-001-03	Determination of the maximum price of a medicinal product/food for special medical purposes in accordance with the provisions of Section § 39a(2)(c).
F-CAU-001-04	Calculation of the maximum ex-factory price
F-CAU-001-06	Comparable products

CONTROL page 3 of 13	STATE INSTITUTE FOR DRUG CONTROL	SP-CAU-003	Issue: 7 / 07.12.2011 page 3 of 13
----------------------	-------------------------------------	------------	---------------------------------------

F-CAU-002-01	External price reference – comparison of ex-factory prices in the EU countries
F-CAU-002-02	Medicinal products available in the CR
F-CAU-002-03	Determination of the basic reimbursement of a reference group according to Section 39c(2)(a)
F-CAU-002-04	Determination of the basic reimbursement of a reference group according to Section 39c(2)(b)
F-CAU-002-05	Determination of the basic reimbursement of an active substance according to Section 39c(4) and (2)(a)
F-CAU-002-06	Determination of basic reimbursement of an active substance according to Section 39c(4) and (2)(b)
F-CAU-002-07	Determination of another reimbursement
F-CAU-002-11	List of reference sources
F-CAU-002-12	Evaluation of the procedure according to Section 13
F-CAU-002-13	Savings estimation
F-CAU-003-01	Call to eliminate defects in the application for determination of the amount and terms of reimbursement (defect of the application)
F-CAU-003-02	Call to eliminate defects in the application for determination of the amount and terms of reimbursement (missing elements of the application)
F-CAU-003-03	Notice of continuation of an administrative procedure on determination of the amount and terms of reimbursement
F-CAU-003-04	Evaluation report
F-CAU-003-05	Notice of termination of the preparation of documentation relevant to the decision on the determination of the amount and terms of reimbursement
F-CAU-003-06	Decision on the determination of the amount and terms of reimbursement – upon request
F-CAU-003-12	Resolution on the joinder of an administrative procedure on the determination of the amount and terms of reimbursement and an administrative procedure on the determination of the maximum price of the same medicinal product
F-CAU-003-13	Extension of the time period stipulated by Section 39g(5) of Act No. 48/1997 Coll. for submitting motions for evidence and other motions by the participants in the procedure on the MC, AaTR
F-CAU-003-14	Discontinuance of the administrative procedure on the setting of the level and conditions of reimbursement upon request – the applicant failed to complete the application
F-CAU-003-20	Call to eliminate a shortcoming of the application for a change of the MC and AaTR
F-CAU-003-21	Call to eliminate a defect in the application for a change of the MC and AaTR
F-CAU-003-22	Notice of continuation of an administrative procedure on a change of the MC and AaTR
F-CAU-003-23	Notice of termination of the preparation of documents relevant to the decision on a change of the MC and AaTR
F-CAU-003-24	Decision on a change of MC and AaTR upon request
F-CAU-003-25	Call for cooperation in determination of the AaTR upon request
F-CAU-003-26	Extension of the time limit for providing a position - determination/change of the AaTR, MC
F-CAU-003-27	Refusal to extend the time limit for providing a position on the determination/change of AaTR, MC
F-CAU-003-28	Resolution on the refusal to extend the time limit under Section $39g(5)$ – determination of the AaTR, MC
F-CAU-003-29	Discontinuance of the application for determination of the AaTR – lack of grounds
E 0 4 1 1 0000 000	D: 0

Discontinuance of the application for determination/change of the MC, AaTR -

F-CAU-003-30

STATE	INSTITUTE	FOR	DRUG
	CONTRO	DL	

SP-CAU-003

Issue: 7 / 07.12.2011 page 4 of 13

	withdrawal
F-CAU-003-31	Discontinuance under Section 88(2), Section 66(1)(a)
F-CAU-003-32	Call to eliminate shortcomings of the application for determination of the MC+AaTR
F-CAU-003-33	Call to eliminate defects in the application for determination of the MC+AaTR
F-CAU-003-34	Notice of continuation of the administrative procedure on determination of the MC+AaTR
F-CAU-003-35	Notice of termination of the preparation of documents relevant to the decision on determination of the MC+AaTR (amount and terms of reimbursement)
F-CAU-003-36	Decision on the determination of MC+ AaTR upon request
F-CAU-003-37	Call to eliminate shortcomings of the application for a change of the AaTR (missing elements in the application)
F-CAU-003-38	Call to eliminate shortcomings of the application for a change of the AaTR (defects of the application)
F-CAU-003-39	Notice of continuation of the administrative procedure on a change of the AaTR
F-CAU-003-40	Notice of termination of the preparation of documents relevant to the decision on a change of the AaTR upon request
F-CAU-003-41	Decision on a change of the AaTR upon request
F-CAU-003-42	Suspension upon request – change of the MC
F-CAU-003-43	Notice of continuation of the administrative procedure after suspension upon request - determination/change of the MC
F-CAU-003-44	Public notice – loss of legal force
F-CAU-003-45	Price certificate
F-CAU-003-46	Decision under Section 87 of the Rules of Administrative Procedure
F-CAU-003-47	Rectifying Resolution – rectification of the reasoning
F-CAU-003-48	Rectifying Resolution – rectification of the ruling
F-CAU-003-49	Extension of the period of suspension of the administrative procedure upon request – determination/change of the MC
F-CAU-003-50	Resolution on the determination of the time limit for providing the opinion after returning the procedure to the Ministry of Health
F-CAU-003-51	Resolution on the permission to change the content of the application
F-CAU-003-52	Resolution on the dismissal of a change of the content of the application
F-CAU-003-53	Letter of request
F-CAU-003-54	Call to eliminate defects of the application – determination of the maximum price of the Ministry of Health
F-CAU-003-55	Call for cooperation - MC/AaTR upon request (generic)
F-CAU-003-56	Resolution on the extension of the time limit for the elimination of defects of an application for maximum ex-factory price
F-CAU-003-57	Committal of notification to a participant
F-CAU-003-58	Committal to the Health Ministry
F-CAU-003-59	Committal – resolution
F-CAU-003-60	Call to eliminate shortcomings of the application for a permission to change the content of the filing
F-CAU-003-61	Notification of an incorrect indication of the legal force
F-CAU-020-01	Determination of reimbursement based on previous legislation
F-CAU-020-02	Determination of fixed reimbursement according to a revision administrative procedure
F-CAU-020-03	Determination of a fixed reimbursement according to an individual administrative procedure

STA	ATE INSTITUTE FOR DRUG CONTROL	SP-CAU-003	Issue: 7 / 07.12.2011 page 5 of 13	
Title:	Title: Procedure for processing of an application for the determination/change of the maximum price and the amount and terms of reimbursement of a medicinal product / food for special medical purposes			

F-CAU-020-04 Determination of fixed reimbursement according to previous legislation

F-105 Position – internal review PN-CAU-001 CAU reference registry

SP-CAU-023 Procedure for the creation of the list of reimbursed HVLP FSMP

S-001 Approval rule

S-005 File processing and shredding rules

5. RELATED GENERALLY APPLICABLE LEGAL REGULATIONS, NORMS AND EUREGULATIONS

Act No. 500/2004 Coll., Rules of Administrative Procedure, as amended ("Administrative Code")

Act No. 378/2007 Coll., on pharmaceuticals and amendments to some related acts, as amended (Act on Pharmaceuticals)

Act No. 48/1997 Coll., on Public Health Insurance and amendments to some related acts, as amended

Act No. 634/2004 Coll., on administrative fees, as amended ("Administrative Fees Act)

Regulation No. 384/2007 Coll., on the list of reference groups, as amended

Regulation No. 385/2007 Coll., on determination of the list of active substances designated for support or supplementary treatment

Regulation No. 92/2008 Coll., on the creation of the list of reference countries, the manner of evaluation of the amount, terms and form of reimbursement of medicinal products and foods for special medical purposes and the elements of the application, as amended

Regulation No. 376/2011 Coll., implementing some of the provisions of the Act on Public Health Insurance Act No. 261/2007 Coll., on stabilization of public budgets, part forty-eight, amending Act No. 265/1991 on the competence of Czech authorities concerning prices

Act No. 265/1991 Coll., on the competence of Czech authorities concerning prices, as amended Act No. 526/1990 Coll., on prices, as amended

Act No. 362/2009 Coll., amending some acts related to the proposal of the Act on the State Budget of the Czech Republic for 2010

Act No. 76/2011 Coll., on temporary reduction of prices and reimbursements of medicinal products Price Decision of the Health Ministry of 20.12.2007, setting the terms of price regulation of medicinal products and foods for specific medical purposes

Price Decision of the Health Ministry 1/2010-FAR, which stipulates the list of ATC groups of medicinal products and foods for special medical purposes – regulation of the ex-factory price as amended

Price Regulation of Health Ministry 2/2009/FAR of 20 March 2009 on the regulation of price of medicinal products and foods for special medical purposes, as amended

6. PROCEDURE

The procedure for processing of applications for the determination of the maximum price and the amount and terms of reimbursement of medicinal products/FSMP is described in the table and the development diagram – Annex 1. Throughout the procedure, the participants may apply for an extension of the time limit for submitting their position and may inspect the file (as indicated in the graph).

The person stated in the AA FS and APDMP as the file owner is primarily responsible for the file.

Activity	Description	Performed by	Document/ tool/ APMPD
1. Assumption of file	The CAU receives the file physically	CAU secretariat	APMPD

	STATE INSTITUTE FOR DRUG CONTROL	SP-CAU-003	lssue: 7 / 07.12.2011 page 6 of 13			
Т	Title: Procedure for processing of an application for the					

determination/change of the maximum price and the amount and terms of reimbursement of a medicinal product / food for special medical purposes

	from the VAL and the DTB employees	DTB employees	AAFS
	electronically through the APDMP AP	VAL employee	
	and AAFS after it has been checked for		
	completeness (within 48 hours for both		
	types of procedure). The file is forwarded		
	from VAL to the CAU secretariat even if		
	it does not bear a certificate of payment		
	of the administrative fee (to be verified		
	and ensured by the CAU secretariat)		
2.Distribution of file	Prague: The CAU secretariat hands the	CAU secretariat	
	hard copy of the file in the AAFS over to	SA secretariat	
	the UR Head, who appoints the Evaluator	UR Head	
	Brno: The CAU secretariat hands the file		
	through the AAFS over to the SA		
	secretariat.		
3.Assignment to	Within one day, the Head returns the	UR Head	APMPD
Coordinator	distributed files to the UR secretariat, the	UR secretariat	AAFS
	secretariat hands the hard copy of the	SA secretariat	
	file over to the UR Evaluator through the		
	AAFS. At the same time, the secretariat		
	forwards the file through the APMPD		
	application.		
	Brno: The SA secretariat hands the file		
	over to the Evaluator through the AAFS		
	and sets up the Evaluator in the APMPD.		
	The SA Evaluator receives the file		
	electronically, the paper files of the SA		
	Evaluator are kept with the ADM.		
4. Distribution of file	The Evaluator sets up the rights to	Evaluator	APMPD
1. Biotribution of file	handle the file in the AAFS and APMPD	_ valuator	AAFS
	for the ADM and other employees where		
	applicable.		
	Immediately after having received the		
	file, the Evaluator enters in the table the		
	search for price references relevant to		
	the administrative procedure and informs		
	the appointed SA Evaluator (procedure		
	on AaTR) and PR Evaluator (procedure		
	on MC+AaTR). The search must be		
	completed within 21 days following the		
	commencement of the administrative		
	procedure.		
	In a AaTR procedure where the amount		
	•		
	of reimbursement is set according to the		

STATE INSTITUTE FOR DRUG CONTROL	SP-CAU-003	Issue: 7 / 07.12.2011 page 7 of 13
-------------------------------------	------------	---------------------------------------

	fixed reimbursement and there is no request for setting of an increased reimbursement (IR) above the amount of the fixed IR, the instruction to search for price references is not given.		
5.Identical products	The Evaluator assigns to the ADM employee a request to investigate the existence of identical products. If they exist, the following steps will apply to all identical products.	Evaluator, ADM	DLP
6. Data check	The Evaluator checks the received documentation for completeness. If it contains all the necessary data, the procedure continues with step 9. If some of the data are not available, the procedure continues with step 7.	Evaluator	
7. Call to supplement file	According to the nature of the matter, the Coordinator prepares a call to eliminate defects of the application or a call to supplement information (the reasoning is to be prepared by the Evaluator) and hands it over to the UR/SA Head for inspection and signing. The call will be published at the Institute's official notice board. If the application has any defects, the administrative procedure is suspended. After receiving the supplement information, the procedure continues with step 8.	Evaluator, Coordinator UR/SA Head	F-CAU-003-01 F-CAU-003-02 F-CAU-003-20 F-CAU-003-21 F-CAU-003-32 F-CAU-003-33 F-CAU-003-37 F-CAU-003-38
8. Acceptance and evaluation of supplement	The Evaluator evaluates the received supplementary documentation. If the supplement contains the necessary data, the Coordinator in cooperation with the ADM prepares a resolution on the continuation of the administrative procedure and submits it to the UR/SA Head for signature. The ADM employee incorporates the signed document in the file and publishes it on the Institute's official notice board. If the supplement does not include the necessary data, the Evaluator in	Evaluator , Coordinator ADM, UR/SA Head	F-CAU-003-03 F-CAU-003-22 F-CAU-003-34 F-CAU-003-39 F-CAU-003-01 F-CAU-003-02 F-CAU-003-14

	STATE	INSTITUTE FOR DRUG CONTROL	SP-CAU-003	Issue: 7 / 07.12.2011 page 8 of 13
--	-------	-------------------------------	------------	---------------------------------------

	cooperation with the Coordinator		
	prepares a repeated call to supplement		
	information within a time limit that is to		
	be published on the Institute's official		
	notice board, or, if the defects have not		
	been eliminated, the procedure is		
	discontinued and the notice of		
	discontinuance is published on the		
	Institute's bulletin board.		
9. Acceptance of	Motions of the participants in the	Filing office, CAU	F-CAU-002-02
motions from	procedure are accepted continuously	secretariat, ADM,	
participants	from the day of commencement of the	Evaluator,	
' '	administrative procedure (day 1) – this is	Coordinator	
	ensured by the Evaluator, who	UR/SA Head	
	incorporates such motions in the file and		
	incorporates them or comments on them		
	in the Evaluation Report. Where the		
	participants' motions require a		
	consultation, the Evaluator may request		
	the opinion of the employee who		
	prepares the determination of		
	reimbursement/price.		
	One of the motions that can be filed by		
	the participants in the proceedings is the		F-CAU-003-13
	request to extend the time period		
	stipulated by Section § 39g(5) of Act No.		
	48/1997 Coll. for submitting proposals of		
	evidence and other motions.		
	If the Evaluator, upon consultation with		
	the UR/SA Head, decides to satisfy the		F-CAU-003-28
	request, the Coordinator prepares a		
	Resolution on the extension of the time		AAFS
	limit to file proposals of evidence and		APMPD
	other motions within the administrative		
	procedure. If the Evaluator decides to		
	dismiss the request, the Coordinator		
	prepares a Resolution on a dismissal of		
	an extension of the time limit. The		
	Resolution will be posted on the		
	Institute's official notice board.		
10. Drafting of the	The Evaluator drafts the Evaluation	Evaluator	F-CAU-003-04
Evaluation Report	Report and sends it via the APMPD to		e-mail/APMPD
proposal/calculation	the employee who prepares the		
of reimbursement	determination of the		

STATE INSTITUTE FOR DRUG CONTROL	SP-CAU-003	Issue: 7 / 07.12.2011 page 9 of 13
-------------------------------------	------------	---------------------------------------

	reimbursement/price		
	(day 20 / day 40 following the		
	commencement of the procedure).		
11.Determination of	After an inspection, the employee	Employee	F-CAU-001-01
the basic	responsible for determination of the	responsible for	F-CAU-001-02
reimbursement /	reimbursement/price calculates the	determination of	F-CAU-001-03
reimbursement for	reimbursement according to the	reimbursement,	F-CAU-001-04
package	applicable methodologies, or calculates		F-CAU-002-01
	the basic reimbursement for a group of		F-CAU-002-02
	interchangeable products. The employee		F-CAU-002-03
	responsible for the determination of the		F-CAU-002-04
	maximum price calculates the maximum		F-CAU-002-04
	price. The relevant documentation is		F-CAU-002-05
	submitted to the Evaluator via the		F-CAU-002-06
	APMPD application.		F-CAU-002-07
	7 H H 2 GPP 10 GH 5 H		APMPD
12. Evaluation	The Evaluator finishes the Evaluation	Evaluator, UR/SA	F-CAU-003-04
Report finalization	Report and submits it to the UR/SA	Head	1 6/16 666 61
T toport in an Eatlori	Head for inspection and final review.		e-mail
	Trodd for intepeditors and finial review.		
	Where the reimbursement of a product is		
	stipulated based on a fixed		
	reimbursement, the Evaluator creates a		
	template of the Evaluation Report, which		
	is then approved by the UR/SA Head.		
	This template is then provided to the		
	ADM employee to fill in the required data		
	from the application and to finally		
	calculate the reimbursement per		
	package. In this case, the final report is		
	revised by the Evaluator.		
13.Incorporating of	The Evaluator signs the revised	Evaluator	F-CAU-003-04
the Evaluation	Evaluation Report and incorporates it in		
Report in the file	the file (no later than on day 39/ 119)		AAFS
	using the APMPD application. He/she		APMPD
	also prepares all other relevant		
	documents and evidence and		
	incorporates them in the file or instructs		
	the ADM employee to incorporate them		
	in the file. After incorporating the		
	evidence, the Evaluator examines the		
	file. Then he/she asks the ADM		
	employee to prepare the Notice of		
	termination of gathering of the		
L			

STATE INSTITUTE FOR DRUG CONTROL	SP-CAU-003	Issue: 7 / 07.12.2011 page 10 of 13
-------------------------------------	------------	--

		_	
	documentation relevant to the decision.		
14. Preparing the	The ADM employee fills in the form	ADM	F-CAU-003-05
Notice of termination	Notice of termination of collection of		F-CAU-003-23 F-CAU-003-35
of collection of	materials relevant to the decision in the		F-CAU-003-40
materials relevant to	APMPD application. The time limit to		
the decision	provide an opinion on the materials is 10		
	days. Before sending the Notice, the		APMPD DLP
	ADM employee again checks the		DLF
	participants in the procedure and		
	identical products.		
15. Examination of	The Evaluator examines the Notice of	Evaluator	F-CAU-003-05 F-CAU-003-23
the Notice of	termination of gathering of materials		F-CAU-003-25
collection of	relevant to the decision and forwards it		F-CAU-003-40
gathering of	to the UR/SA head for signature via the		
documentation	APMPD application.		
relevant to the	A Notice prepared by the Evaluator is		
decision	forwarded directly to the Head for		
	signature. The signed Notice is		
	incorporated in the file by the ADM.		
16. Submitting the	After checking, the ADM employee	ADM, UR/SA	F-CAU-003-05
Notice to the UR	forwards the document to the UR/SA	Head	F-CAU-003-23
head for signature	Head for signature and subsequently		F-CAU-003-35
and its incorporation	incorporates the signed document in the		F-CAU-003-40
in the file	file.		APMPD
47 5 18 18		4514	E 0411 000 05
17. Publishing of the	The ADM employee publishes the Notice	ADM	F-CAU-003-05 F-CAU-003-23
Notice	on the Institute's official notice board (no		F-CAU-003-25 F-CAU-003-35
	later on day 40 / day 120 following the		F-CAU-003-40
	commencement of the procedure). After		
	the publishing, he/she provides for a		APMPD
	change of phase in the APMPD and checks that this change has been made		
	(this can be done on the next day).		
18. Extension of the	If a participant applies for an extension	Coordinator ADM,	
time limit	of the time limit to provide its comments	UR/SA Head	
CITIO IIITIIC	on the documentation relevant to the		
	decision, the Evaluator may extend this		F-CAU-003-26
	time limit upon consultation with the		F-CAU-003-27
	UR/SA head (provided that this does not		. 0/10 000 21
	affect the purpose of the procedure or		
	equality of the participants) or dismiss		
	the extension of the time limit for		
	providing an opinion by a resolution that		
	<u>. </u>		

STATE INSTITUTE FOR DRUG CONTROL	SP-CAU-003	Issue: 7 / 07.12.2011 page 11 of 13
-------------------------------------	------------	--

	is then published on the Institute's official		
	notice board.		
19. Appeal delivery	If an appeal against the resolution stated	Evaluator/	AAFS
monitoring	in the Notice is delivered within 15 days	Coordinator	
	following the service, the procedure		
	continues with step 21. If no appeal has		4
	been filed, go to step 22.		
20. Processing of an		LD employee	SP-UST-013
appeal	and contains all the required elements,		
	(to be confirmed by the LD), the		
	Evaluator prepares a Position on the		
	appeal or prepares a proposal of an		
	internal review (within the time limit		
	stipulated by the LD) and forwards it to		
	the UR/SA Head, who revises the		
	Position and forwards it to the CAU		
	Head.		
	The CAU Head revises the position on		
	the appeal or the motion to proceed		
	according to Section 87 of the Rules of		
	Administrative Procedure (internal		
	review), makes any additions necessary		
	and forwards it to the LD.		
	The appeal does not have a suspensory		
	effect and the procedure continues		
	without interruption with step 22.		
	If the Health Ministry issues a decision in		
	respect of an appeal against the		
	Resolution stated in the Notice		
	a) The Health Ministry has no objections		
	and the procedure continues without		
	being interrupted.		
	b) If the Health Ministry has any		
	objections, it states them in its decision		
	and decides to which phase the		
	procedure should be returned.		
21. Drafting of a	The Notice is considered as delivered on	Evaluator,	F-CAU-003-06
Decision	the 5 th day following its posting.	Employee	F-CAU-003-24
•	On the 20 th day following the sending of	responsible for determination of	F-CAU-003-36 F-CAU-003-41
	the Notice, the Evaluator prepares a	reimbursement	1 -0A0-003 -4 1
	draft of the Decision, including the	/price	APMPD
	reactions to any objections	ADM	
	(no later than by day 60 / 150 following		
	the commencement of the procedure).		

STATE INSTITUTE FOR DRUG CONTROL	SP-CAU-003	Issue: 7 / 07.12.2011 page 12 of 13
-	cessing of an application t	

determination/change of the maximum price and the amount and terms of reimbursement of a medicinal product / food for special medical purposes

22. Review of the	When there are objections against the determination of reimbursement/price or when it is necessary to make a new calculation of the reimbursement/price stated in the decision, the Evaluator asks the employee responsible for the determination of reimbursement/price for cooperation. If the reimbursement has been determined according to the fixed reimbursement and there are no objections against the course of the procedure, the evaluator may ask the ADM employee to prepare a decision in the APMPD. Such decision shall be revised by the Evaluator before its sending for signature. In the case that further supplementary	Evaluator,	F-CAU-003-05
delivery of	information has been ascertained from	ADM	F-CAU-003-23
supplementary	the participants in the proceedings which		F-CAU-003-35
information	could not have been submitted within 15 days following the commencement of the procedure, the Evaluator add this information in the file and the procedure is returned to step 13. The Evaluator prepares a new version of the Evaluation Report and the ADM employee prepares for issuance a new Notice on the termination of gathering of materials. If any of the participants submits after the expiration of the 15-day period any information that was already available before its expiration, the Evaluator will not accept such materials and will address the submitted motions in the Decision.		F-CAU-003-40
	before the issuance of a Decision, the procedure is discontinued. The Resolution on discontinuation of the procedure is published on the Institute's		

STATE INSTITUTE FOR DRUG CONTROL	SP-CAU-003	Issue: 7 / 07.12.2011 page 13 of 13
-------------------------------------	------------	--

-		•	
	official notice board.		
23. Revision of the Decision	The decision is revised by the UR/SA Head, and the CAU Head, where applicable.	Evaluator, UR/SA Head, CAU	F-CAU-003-06 F-CAU-003-24 F-CAU-003-36 F-CAU-003-41
26. Finalization of the Decision	The Evaluator finishes the Decision, the ADM employee checks the participants in the procedure and identical products once more. The Evaluator subsequently forwards the Decision by e-mail to the UR/SA Head for signature.	Evaluator ADM	F-CAU-003-06 F-CAU-003-24 F-CAU-003-36 F-CAU-003-41
27. Signing of the Decision	The UR/SA Head checks the Decision and signs it or returns it to the Evaluator for amendments. The ADM employee enters the signed Decision in the APMPD and in the file in AAFS.	UR/SA Head, Evaluator ADM	F-CAU-003-06 F-CAU-003-24 F-CAU-003-36 F-CAU-003-41 APMPD AAFS
28.Publishing of the Decision	The ADM employee posts the decision on the Institute's official notice board (no later than on day 75 / 165 following the commencement of the procedure). After its posting, he/she provides for and checks the change of phase in the APMPD (this can be done on the next day).	Employee of administrative support to the UR	F-CAU-003-06 F-CAU-003-24 F-CAU-003-36 F-CAU-003-41 APMPD
29. Forwarding of the file to the CAU node in the AAFS	After publishing the Decision, the Evaluator forwards the file to the UR/SA node. An employee of the UR/SA secretariat forwards the file to the node of the CAU secretariat, the CAU secretariat follows the documents of the file delivered via the AAFS.	Evaluator ADM secretariat	AAFS
30. Evaluation of legal force	The delivery of an appeal against the Decision is monitored (the appeal is delivered from the filing office to the legal department, the LD provides this information via e-mail and enters it in the appeal table in the share Public folder). If the appeal has been delivered in time and contains the necessary elements (to be confirmed by the LD), the procedure continues with step 30. Otherwise the LD informs the Evaluator who follows further instructions of the LD. If the appeal is not	Evaluator, filing office, Head and employees of the LD, ADM	SP-UST-013 PN-CAU-002

	delivered in the stipulated time limit, the		
	procedure continues with step 35.		
31. Position on the	The Evaluator drafts a position on the	Evaluator,	e-mail
appeal	appeal or a proposal for an internal	UR/SA Head	
	review (within the time limit stipulated by		
	the LD) and consults the procedure with		
	the Head of UR/SA. The Evaluator		
	forwards the information on the appeal in		
	accordance with step 36.		
32. Revision of the	The UR/SA Head revises the Position on	CAU Head	APMPD
Position	the appeal (or the proposal for an	UR/SA Head	e-mail
	internal review), makes any necessary	Evaluator	
	amendments and submits it to the CAU		
	Head for approval. The CAU Head		
	confirms the approval of the Position to		
	the Evaluator via e-mail. Subsequently,		
	the Evaluator forwards the Position to		
	the LD via the APMPD application.		
	In the case that the CAU/UR/SA Head		
	does not approve the Position, it is		
	returned to the Evaluator for		
	amendments.		
33. Decision on the	The LD decides whether the requests of	V-LD	Call by LD
appeal	the appellant are to be fully satisfied, in		AAFS
	which case the procedure continues with		
	step 33, and returns the file to the CAU		
	secretariat, which subsequently forwards		
	it to the Coordinator. If the appellant's		
	requests cannot be satisfied, a position		
	is prepared and handed over by SÚKL to		
	the Health Ministry (MH). After the		F-CAU-003-31
	delivery of the final and conclusive		F-CAU-003-31
	decision of the MH, the procedure		
	continues with step 34.		
	· ·		
	If the applicant has withdrawn the		
	application before the file is forwarded to		
	the MH, the procedure is discontinued		
	and this fact is published on the		
	Institute's official notice board.		
34. Internal Review	If the Evaluator, the UR/SA Head and	Evaluator, UR/SA	F-105

	the legal department evaluate the	Head, CAU Head,	
	possibility to use an internal review as	LD	
	appropriate, then:		
	 a) In the case that the original 		
	Decision is cancelled, the		
	procedure is returned to step		
	9.		
	b) In the case that the original		
	Decision is amended, the		
	procedure is returned to step		
	22.	•	
	c) If nobody files an appeal against		
	the result of the internal review,		
	the procedure continues with		
	step 35.		
35.Acceptance of	If the MH has confirmed the Institute's	PRO, CAU Head,	
the MH Decision	Decision, the procedure continues with	UR Head,	
	step 35. Otherwise the procedure goes	Evaluator	
	back to step 9 and the file is forwarded		
	from the CAU secretariat to the		
	Evaluator.		
36. Handover of the	The Evaluator in cooperation with the	UR secretariat,	F-CAU-003-06
file and indication of	Coordinator follows the dates of legal	DTB employee Evaluator	F-CAU-003-24 F-CAU-003-36
legal force	force of the Decision. If the Decision can	Coordinator	F-CAU-003-41
	become final and conclusive after the		AAFS
	expiration of the respective time period,		SP-CAU-023,
	the Evaluator instructs the DTB		
	employees to enter the date of legal		
	employees to enter the date of legal force of the Decision electronically, the		
	employees to enter the date of legal force of the Decision electronically, the DTB employees incorporate the Decision		
_	employees to enter the date of legal force of the Decision electronically, the DTB employees incorporate the Decision with the date of legal force in the file in		
	employees to enter the date of legal force of the Decision electronically, the DTB employees incorporate the Decision with the date of legal force in the file in the AAFS via the APMPD application.		
	employees to enter the date of legal force of the Decision electronically, the DTB employees incorporate the Decision with the date of legal force in the file in the AAFS via the APMPD application. The DTB employee ensures a change of		
~ (2	employees to enter the date of legal force of the Decision electronically, the DTB employees incorporate the Decision with the date of legal force in the file in the AAFS via the APMPD application. The DTB employee ensures a change of phase in the APMPD and subsequently		
	employees to enter the date of legal force of the Decision electronically, the DTB employees incorporate the Decision with the date of legal force in the file in the AAFS via the APMPD application. The DTB employee ensures a change of phase in the APMPD and subsequently checks that the change has been		
	employees to enter the date of legal force of the Decision electronically, the DTB employees incorporate the Decision with the date of legal force in the file in the AAFS via the APMPD application. The DTB employee ensures a change of phase in the APMPD and subsequently		
37 Forwarding of	employees to enter the date of legal force of the Decision electronically, the DTB employees incorporate the Decision with the date of legal force in the file in the AAFS via the APMPD application. The DTB employee ensures a change of phase in the APMPD and subsequently checks that the change has been performed.	IIR secretariat	F-mail
37. Forwarding of information on the	employees to enter the date of legal force of the Decision electronically, the DTB employees incorporate the Decision with the date of legal force in the file in the AAFS via the APMPD application. The DTB employee ensures a change of phase in the APMPD and subsequently checks that the change has been performed. The Evaluator in cooperation with the	UR secretariat ADM	E-mail, Signed table in the
information on the	employees to enter the date of legal force of the Decision electronically, the DTB employees incorporate the Decision with the date of legal force in the file in the AAFS via the APMPD application. The DTB employee ensures a change of phase in the APMPD and subsequently checks that the change has been performed. The Evaluator in cooperation with the Coordinator forwards the information that	ADM Evaluator	E-mail, Signed table in the MPD
information on the appeal and entering	employees to enter the date of legal force of the Decision electronically, the DTB employees incorporate the Decision with the date of legal force in the file in the AAFS via the APMPD application. The DTB employee ensures a change of phase in the APMPD and subsequently checks that the change has been performed. The Evaluator in cooperation with the Coordinator forwards the information that an appeal has been filed or that the	ADM	Signed table in the
information on the	employees to enter the date of legal force of the Decision electronically, the DTB employees incorporate the Decision with the date of legal force in the file in the AAFS via the APMPD application. The DTB employee ensures a change of phase in the APMPD and subsequently checks that the change has been performed. The Evaluator in cooperation with the Coordinator forwards the information that an appeal has been filed or that the Decision has taken legal force to the	ADM Evaluator	Signed table in the
information on the appeal and entering	employees to enter the date of legal force of the Decision electronically, the DTB employees incorporate the Decision with the date of legal force in the file in the AAFS via the APMPD application. The DTB employee ensures a change of phase in the APMPD and subsequently checks that the change has been performed. The Evaluator in cooperation with the Coordinator forwards the information that an appeal has been filed or that the Decision has taken legal force to the DTB employees according to SP-CAU-	ADM Evaluator	Signed table in the
information on the appeal and entering	employees to enter the date of legal force of the Decision electronically, the DTB employees incorporate the Decision with the date of legal force in the file in the AAFS via the APMPD application. The DTB employee ensures a change of phase in the APMPD and subsequently checks that the change has been performed. The Evaluator in cooperation with the Coordinator forwards the information that an appeal has been filed or that the Decision has taken legal force to the	ADM Evaluator	Signed table in the

STATE INSTITUTE FOR D CONTROL	RUG SP-CA	U-003 Issue: 7 / 07.12.2011 page 16 of 13
determination	bursement of a me	application for the ximum price and the amount and edicinal product / food for special

38. Closing of the file	information system) in order to incorporate it in the List of reimbursed MP/FSMP. Procedures initiated after 1 December 2011: If an appeal has been filed within the procedure, the Evaluator forwards this information to the DTB employees. Such decision is stated in the list as a preliminarily effective decision that can be enforced upon expiration of the time limit for appeal. The CAU secretariat prepares the file to be entered in the reference registry and transfers it to the archive status in the AAFS.	ADM secretariat	AAFS, reference registry
39. Archiving of the file in the reference registry	The ADM employee archives the paper version of the file in the CAU reference registry, stating its location.	ADM	AAFS, reference registry SP-CAU-023,

The Evaluator proceeds in the same manner also when the Institute receives an application for determination of the maximum price of a medicinal product /FSMP and the procedure on the determination of the amount and terms of reimbursement of the same medicinal product/FSMP is already under way.

Where the Evaluator ascertains in the course of the procedure that the application has become groundless, he/she discontinues the procedure using the F-CAU-003-29 form.

F-CAU-003-25 Call to cooperation – provision of information – can be applied in any phase of the procedure.

All documents posted on the official notice board bear a guaranteed electronic signature and a date stamp.

Specific terms of applications for determination of the amount and terms of reimbursement of a highly innovative medicinal product.

The obligatory elements of the application are stipulated in Section 39d (3) of the Public Health Insurance Act.

The determination of the reimbursement is subject to the Methodology for determination of basic reimbursement specifically for highly innovative medicinal products, if the methodology defines such procedure, the reimbursement is always determined *de novo* for 24 or 12 months according to the provisions of Section 39d (2) of the Public Health Insurance Act.

7. ANNEXES

Annex 1: Procedural map for processing of an application for determination of the maximum ex-factory price or reimbursement of a medicinal product/FSMP

Překlad:

Participants may inspect file throughout the procedure.

1. Assumption of file

- 2. Distribution of file
- 3. Allocation to coordinator
- 4. Distribution of file
- 5. Identical codes
- 6. Verification of data
- 7. Removal of application defects requested
- YES Call to remedy defects
- NO Call to supplement application
- 8. Is documentation complete? NO AP suspended
- 9. Evaluation report compiled (day 20/40)
- 10. Examination of the evaluation report
- 11. Price benchmark provision

Motions received from applicants

- 12. Motions received from applicants
- 13. Final version of the evaluation report
- 14. Evaluation report included in file (31/119)
- 15. Resolution on termination of document collection
- 16. Examination of the Notice on termination of document collection
- 17. Notice delivered to the CR/UR manager for signature and included in file
- 18. Notice notified to parties (day 40/120)
- 19. Time limit extension
- 20. Was resolution appealed within 15 days of delivery? YES -
- 21. Appeal addressed by PRO
- NO 22. Draft decision compiled (day 60/150)
- 23. Additional information ascertained?

NO

- 24. Opposing views to the decision compiled
- 25. Final draft of decision
- 26. Decision signed
- 27. Decision notified to parties (day 75/165)
- 28. File delivered to CAU node
- 29. Was decision appealed within 15 days of delivery?

YES

- 30. Position to appeal compiled
- 31. Position examined
- 32. Did the Institute afford the appeal?

YES

- 33. Internal review
- 33a. Original decision cancelled
- 33b. Original decision changed
- 33c. No objections

NO

- 34. Did Ministry uphold Institute's decision?
- 35. File delivered and effective marked thereon
- 36. Appeal information delivered, data input in DLP
- 37. File closed
- 38. File archived in reference registry



